

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

KEEBA SCOTT HARRIS

v.

OFFICER RAYMOND TONKIN and
CRAIG LOWE and Et Al

:
:
:
:
:
:

CIVIL ACTION

NO. 21-861

ORDER

NOW, this 16th day of May, 2022, upon consideration of plaintiff's motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* (Doc. No. 1) and her *pro se* complaint (Doc. No. 2), and for the reasons stated in the accompanying memorandum, it is **ORDERED** as follows:

1. The complaint is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.
2. Plaintiff's motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* is **DENIED AS MOOT**.
3. To the extent plaintiff seeks removal of her state criminal proceedings in *Commonwealth v. Harris*, CP-52-CR-690-2019 (C.C.P. Pike Co.), it is **DENIED**.

/s/ TIMOTHY J. SAVAGE J.